## Barbican Tower blocks – Requests for Information from Pemberton Greenish and Officer response

Date	Ref	From /To	
7/10/13	1	Letter: Pemberton Greenish to City of London	Advising that following Counsel opinion this matter is of a legal nature (lease) rather than a technical matter
10/10/13	2	Letter: CoL to PG	Request to see Counsel opinion and enclosing copy of First Tier Tribunal dispensation order
1/11/13	3	Email: CoL to PG	Dear Ms Glanville, following my receipt of your chaser letter dated the 30 <sup>th</sup> October I did ring yesterday to obtain your email address in order that I could send through to you the letter and it's enclosures I sent on the 10 <sup>th</sup> October 2013. I haven't heard from you. I am therefore sending a copy of my letter dated 10 <sup>th</sup> October to your generic email address by way of a PDF in the hope that it reaches you. Perhaps at some point you will acknowledge safe receipt.
7/11/13	4	Telephone: CoL & PG	PG will not waive privilege, and release their Counsel's opinion. PG have not set out in clear terms what their arguments are. They have merely said in their opening letter that the issue is a legal as opposed to a technical one, and that we did not give notice; by which they must mean historic notice as opposed to notice in 2011. Phone call ended with Ms Glanville saying she would acknowledge my 10 <sup>th</sup> October letter, and confirm that their Barristers opinion would not be released. When asked what the City's response would be I stated that I would have to take instructions as to whether the City consider a meeting is appropriate.
22/11/13	5	Letter: PG to CoL	Confirming not prepared to disclose Counsel opinion and other
26/11/13	6	Letter CoL to PG	Requesting clarification of claims made by client and evidence to support. Letter confirms the City would consent to a meeting
4/12/13	7	Letter: CoL to PG	Letter sets out legal precedent of definitions of structural defects, reinforcing the City's position that the works to the Towers were not to correct structural defects.
20/12/13	8	Letter: PG to CoL	Acknowledgement of letter 4 <sup>th</sup> Dec
27/01/14	9	Letter CoL to PG	Querying no correspondence received as correspondence of 20 <sup>th</sup> December advised a response in the New Year.
30/01/14	10	Letter: PG to CoL	Advising still investigating and will advise when they are in a position to meet with City Officers